

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/071,356	02/08/2002	Rene Helbing	10010648-1	2798
7590 10/08/2003			EXAMINER	
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			CURTIS, CRAIG	
Legal Department, DL429 Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 7599 Loveland, CO 80537-0599			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	
			DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		- Un				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/071,356	HELBING, RENE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Craig H. Curtis	2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	66(a). In no event, however, ma within the statutory minimum o ill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 F</u>	ebruary 2002 .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)						
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notic	e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1-3, 6, 7, 9, 10, 21, and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pan (6,181,846).

With regard to claim 1, Pan discloses the invention as claimed, an optical device comprising, inter alia, a polarization-controlling reflector (elements 18 & 19 in Figs. 3B & 3C: also see col. 2, II. 65-67-col. 3, II. 1-26, as well as col. 4, II. 38-67-col. 5, II. 1-23), said reflector having a plurality of states (i.e., On and Off); a polarization dependent optical-path device (15), said polarization-dependent optical-path device converting input-light polarization components that are at least partially spatially-coincident and that have been coupled into the optical device into spatially-separated input-light polarization components, and wherein when said reflector is in a first one of said plurality of states, said orientation is such that said polarization-dependent optical-path device causes at least a portion of the reflected-light polarization components to be out-coupled from the optical device. See Figs. 3B & 3C.

Art Unit: 2872

With regard to claim 2, said polarization-dependent optical-path device (15) of Pan includes an input polarization-dependent path splitting element (Id.), said input polarization-dependent path splitting element converting said input-light polarization components that are at least partially spatially-coincident into said spatially-separated input-light polarization components, thereby defining a branched input. See dashed and solid lines of input light depicted in Figs. 3B & 3C.

With regard to claim 3, the optical device taught by Pan further comprises an output polarization-dependent path splitting element (i.e., 16: see Figs. 3B & 3C), said output polarization-dependent path splitting element converting said spatially-separated reflected light components having reflected angles of polarization into spatially-separated reflected-light components having output angle of polarization, thereby defining a branched output (see dashed and solid lines of output light depicted in Figs. 3B & 3C), said output angles of polarization depending on the state of the reflector, wherein when said reflector is in said first one of said plurality of states, at least a portion of said output-light polarization components is out-coupled from said output-light polarization components that is out-coupled from the optical device through said branched output depends of the state of said reflector. See Figs. 3B & 3C; also see col. 4, il. 38-50.

With regard to claim 6, as can be seen in Figs. 3B & 3C of Pan, said polarization-dependent combiner element (one and the same with element 15) converts said spatially-separated reflected-light polarization components having output angles of polarization into said output-light polarization components that are at least partially spatially coincident. See Figs. 3B & 3C.

With regard to claim 7, said polarization-dependent combiner (15) of Pan converts said spatially-separated reflected-light polarization components having a output angles [read: having output angles] of polarization into output-light polarization components that are orthogonal to each other. See Figs. 3B & 3C.

With regard to claim 9, see overlap of optical path taken by input-light polarization components coupled into said optical device and the reflected-light polarization components out-coupled from said optical device, said overlap being deemed to meet Applicant's recitation of the "...at least partially share a common optical path within the optical device." limitation.

With regard to claim 10, please not the distinct of the input and output optical paths in the optical device of Pan, as depicted in Figs. 3B & 3C.

With regard to claim 21, said optical device of Pan comprises, inter alia, an optical polarization component separator (15) that receives input light having two orthogonal polarization components that are spatially coincident (see input light at leftmost side of separator 15 in Figs. 3B & 3C), said optical polarization component separator spatially separating said polarization components. See Figs. 3B & 3C; an optical orientation component (16) that receives spatially-separated polarization components propagating along a light path and that provides said spatially-separated polarization components with particular angles of polarization while keeping the polarization components spatially separated (see Figs. 3B & 3C); [and] a reflector capable of causing a polarization rotation that is controllably alterable to enable polarization components that impinge on the reflector to be reflected along a light path with either a same

Art Unit: 2872

polarization and spatial separation as the polarization components had when they impinged on the reflector or with a different polarization and spatial separation than the polarization components had when they impinged on the reflector. See col. 3, II. 7-26.

With regard to claims 29-31, the structural teachings of Pan set forth hereinbefore implicitly meet the method step limitations recited in these claims. See above and Figs. 3B & 3C.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 4, 11-20, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pan (5,973,831).

With regard to claims 4 & 11, Pan discloses, in Figs. 3B & 3C, the claimed invention as set forth above EXCEPT FOR an explicit teaching with respect to claim 4, wherein at least a portion of said output-light polarization components is out-coupled from the optical device through said branched input; and an explicit teaching with respect to claim 11, wherein said polarization-dependent optical-path device has at least a second input port and a second output port [in addition, of course, to the disclosed first input port (10) and the first output port (11)]. Pan, however, with respect to claim 4, additionally discloses an embodiment (depicted in Fig. 7) in which, after optical fibers 50-53 have been respectively

Art Unit: 2872

denominated, one with respect to the others or pairwise, as being branched input or output, at least a portion of said output-light polarization components is out-coupled from the optical device through said branched input. See col. 6, Il. 45-58; and with respect to claim 11, Pan explicitly teaches a second input port and a second output port (it being noted as being arbitrary which of ports 50-53 are to be so designated). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the optical device of Pan depicted in Figs. 3B & 3C therein such that at least a portion of said output-light polarization components be out-coupled from the optical device through said branched input, as well as such that said optical device further comprise a second input port and a second output port, both being taught by Pan in the embodiment of said optical device depicted in Fig. 7 therein, for at least the purposes of increasing the functionality of said optical device by allowing said output-light polarization components to be out-coupled from said optical device through more than one optical fiber, as well as allowing more than one set of input-light polarization components to be incoupled to said device.

With regard to claims 12-20 & 32, it is submitted that--once account is taken of the various permutations of operation (express or implied) of the embodiment of the optical device depicted in Fig. 7 of Pan--the disclosures of Pan encompass the limitations recited in each of these claims.

3. Claims 5, 8, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pan (5,973,831) in view of Gahan (4,799,768).

Pan discloses (in Fig. 7) the optical device as set forth above EXCEPT FOR wherein, with respect to claims 5 & 33, said plurality of states constitutes a continuum of states such that said optical device functions as an analog optical device, and wherein the respective portions of output-light polarization components that are out-coupled from the optical device through said branched input and through said branched output is controllably variable over a continuum of said portions by selecting the state of the reflector from said continuum of states; and wherein, with respect to claim 8, when said reflector is in a third one of said plurality of states, the optical device functions as a beam splitter.

Gahan, however, provides an explicit teaching a reflector (18) having a plurality of states, said plurality of states constituting, inter alia, a continuum of states (col. 3, II. 11-13). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the reflector of Pan, already taught therein as having a plurality (*read*: two or more) of states, such that said plurality of states constitute a continuum of states, thereby functioning as an analog device (inherent), as explicitly taught by Gahan, for at least the purpose of enabling said optical device to output said output-light polarization components in a more variable manner than would be the case if said plurality of states of said reflector were limited to "on" and "off" states. And with respect to claim 8, it is submitted that in one of said plurality of states of said reflector in the combination that said optical device depicted in Fig. 7 of Pan would function as a beam splitter in the manner as that set forth in this claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/071,356 Page 8

Art Unit: 2872

4. Claims 22-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Cheng (5,930,422) in view of Pan (5,973,831).

With regard to claim 22, Cheng discloses the invention as claimed--[a]n integrated optical

device (see Fig. 4) comprising:

at least a first input port (PI or P2);

at least a first output port (P2 or P3);

a substantially non-reciprocal direction stage (14 & 16) comprising one or more elements , the

directional stage receiving light from at least the first input port (see Fig. 4), the received light having

polarization components (see polarization components walked-off by walk-off crystal 16), the directional

stage controlling a path of propagation of the received light through the directional stage by operating on

the polarization components of the received light (see above & Fig. 4);

a reflective element (20); and

a polarization stage (18) interposed between the directional stage and the reflective element, the

polarization stage directing the polarization components of light propagating through the directional stage

onto the reflective element by operating on the polarization components of the light received by the

polarization from the directional stage (the operation being focusing in this instance)--EXCEPT FOR

disclosure of the following additionally recited limitations: wherein said reflective element has a plurality

of states such that light impinging on the reflective element is reflected by the reflective element with a

polarization that depends on the state of the reflective element, and wherein said polarization stage

Application/Control Number: 10/071,356

Art Unit: 2872

directs light components reflected from the reflective element into the directional stage with a polarization that depends on the state of the reflective element to enable the directional stage to control the path of propagation of the reflected light based on the polarization of the reflected light components (it being noted that modifying the optical device of Cheng such that its reflective element have a plurality of states such that light impinging on the reflective element is reflected by the reflective element with a polarization that depends on the state of the reflective element would necessarily, in light of the arrangement of the elements comprising the optical device of Cheng, enable said directional stage to control the path of propagation of the reflected light based on the polarization of the reflected light components).

Pan, however, provides an explicit teaching of a reflective element (18 & 19 in Figs. 3B & 3C) that has a plurality of states such that light impinging on the reflective element is reflected by the reflective element with a polarization that depends on the state of the reflective element (col. 3, II. I I-26). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the optical device of Cheng such that its reflective element have a plurality of states such that light impinging on the reflective element be reflected by the reflective element with a polarization that depends on the state of the reflective element, as taught by Pan, for at least the purpose of providing increased directional control of said polarization components of light propagating through said optical device.

With regard to claims 23-25, once account is taken of the fact that both a first one and a second one of said plurality of states of said reflective element can be a non-off state, the optical device Application/Control Number: 10/071,356 Page 10

Art Unit: 2872

of combination meets the limitations recited in these claims. See above and Fig. 4 of Cheng (the designation of ports as being first input, second input, etc., being arbitrary).

With regard to claim 26, directional stage of the combination comprises a walk-off crystal .

(16) and a Faraday rotator (14). See 14 & 16 in Cheng.

With regard to claim 27, said polarization stage of the combination comprises a birefringent element (18).

With regard to claim 28, said reflective element of the combination comprises a liquid crystal cell. See 18 in Pan; also see col. 5, II. 8.

Application/Control Number: 10/071,356 Page 11

Art Unit: 2872

Contact Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Craig Curtis, whose telephone number is (703) 305-0776. The facsimile phone number for Art Unit 2872 is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature regarding the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Audrey Chang Primary Examiner Technology Center 2800

Craig H. Curtis
Group Art Unit 2872
23 September 2003